1	Effects of mountaintop removal mining and valley fills on occupancy and abundance of
2	stream salamanders
3	
4	Steven J. Price <sup>1</sup> , Brenee' L. Muncy <sup>1</sup> , Simon J. Bonner <sup>2</sup> , Andrea N. Drayer <sup>1</sup> , and Christopher D.
5	Barton <sup>1</sup>
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> Department of Forestry, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40546-0073 USA
8	<sup>2</sup> Department of Statistics, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40546-0082 USA
9	
10	Corresponding Author (SJP): Postal address: 208A TP Cooper Building, Department of Forestry,
11	University of Kentucky, Lexington KY, 40546-0073; Email: steven.price@uky.edu; Phone: 859
12	257-7610; Fax: 859-323-1031
13	
14	Running Head: Mining and stream salamander populations
15	Keywords: amphibians, Appalachia, coal mining, hurdle model, occupancy, N-mixture model
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

## 24 Summary.

1. Across central Appalachia (USA), mountaintop removal mining and valley filling (MTR/VF) 25 26 represents a stressor to stream ecosystems. Salamanders are the dominant vertebrate in 27 Appalachian low-order streams, yet very few studies have addressed the effects of MTR/VF on their populations. Thus, we addressed the basic question: is salamander occupancy and 28 29 abundance given occupancy (hereafter conditional abundance) reduced in streams impacted by 30 MTR/VF compared to control streams? 2. We conducted repeated counts of adult and larva of five salamander species within 10 m 31 reaches in 11 valley-filled streams on reclaimed mined land and 12 control streams in 32 southeastern Kentucky (USA). Physical habitat and water chemistry variables were also recorded 33 34 for each stream. Relationships between salamander occupancy, conditional abundance, and site 35 type (MTR/VF vs. control) were modeled using the hurdle model (Dorazio et al. (2013)), an extension of the binomial mixture model, where occupancy is modeled separately from 36 37 abundance while accounting for differences in per-individual detection probabilities among species. 38 39 **3.** Using a Bayesian framework, we found mean occupancy probabilities were >0.84 for all 40 species and life stages in control reaches, whereas mean occupancy probabilities were relatively 41 lower at reaches impacted by MTR/VF (ranging from 0.23 to 0.66). Posterior means of the 42 difference in occupancy between site types was negative across all five species and stages, 43 although MTR/VF stream reaches were at least 95% less likely to be occupied by Gyrinophilus 44 porphyriticus (spring salamander), adult Eurycea cirrigera (southern two-lined salamander) and Desmognathus larvae (larval dusky salamanders) compared to control stream reaches. 45

4. Across all species and stages, mean conditional abundance was relatively lower at MTR/VF 46 reaches compared to control reaches. Posterior means of the difference in conditional abundance 47 48 between MTR/VF and control stream reaches were negative across all species and stages; 95% 49 credible interval for difference in conditional abundance covered zero for only one species (*Pseudotriton ruber*; red salamander). After adjusting for goodness-of-fit, point estimates of 50 51 differences in occupancy and conditional abundance still remained below zero for most species, reinforcing our initial findings. Additionally, we found that MTR/VF reaches had higher ion 52 concentrations, total organic carbon, pH and specific conductance compared to control stream 53 54 reaches

4. Synthesis and applications. Our study is among the first to conclude that streams impacted by MTR/VF have reduced salamander occupancy and conditional abundance compared to control streams, with responses relatively similar among species and life stages. Although the precise stressors (i.e., chemical, hydrologic, catchment alterations, etc.) responsible for reduced salamander occupancy and abundance remain elusive, our study adds to the growing literature that suggests that current reclamation and mitigation approaches do not offset the impacts of MTR/VF on populations of stream biota and ecosystems.

62

# 63 Introduction.

Mountaintop removal mining, a form of surface mining that involves the removal of significant amounts of rock to access shallow coal seams, is a well-known stressor to ecosystems throughout the Central Appalachia region of the eastern USA (Bernhardt & Palmer 2011; Wickham *et al.* 2013). In particular, mountaintop removal mining often results in the complete or partial burial of low-order streams via valley filling, the process by which rock (i.e.,

overburden materials) is discarded from the mine site into adjacent valleys. Valley-filling alters 69 stream water chemistry; leaching and surface runoff from the unweathered overburden materials 70 71 leads to increased specific conductance, elevated levels of total dissolved solids, sulfates, 72 chlorides and other ions and altered pH compared to reference streams (Fritz et al., 2010; Palmer et al., 2010; and Lindberg et al., 2011; Griffith et al., 2012). Alterations to stream catchments 73 74 from mining, including forest removal and soil compaction, result in larger storm runoff coefficients, greater total runoff, higher peak hourly runoff rates, and increases in sedimentation 75 compared to reference streams (Wiley et al., 2001; Negley & Eshleman, 2006; Simmons et al., 76 77 2008; Ferrari et al., 2009). Although laws exist to regulate the environmental impacts of mountaintop removal mining (e.g., Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act (SMCRA) of 78 1977; sections 402 and 404 of the Clean Water Act) through restoration and reclamation of 79 80 terrestrial environments prior to abandonment and mitigation of aquatic systems, mountaintop removal mining and valley filling (MTR/VF) consistently results in long-term changes to stream 81 82 ecosystem function via impacts to stream catchments, riparian zones and aquatic environments (Simmons et al., 2008). 83

84 Mountaintop removal mining is widespread in the central Appalachian states of Kentucky, 85 Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia, a region known for high levels of stream biodiversity 86 (Bernhardt & Palmer, 2011). Salamanders represent the dominant vertebrates in low-order 87 streams in Appalachia where they can reach exceptional population densities (i.e., Peterman, 88 Crawford & Semlitsch, 2008) and drive numerous ecosystem-level processes. For example, 89 salamanders convert large quantities of invertebrate prey to vertebrate biomass; they provide a 90 food resource to mammals, birds, fish and reptiles (Davic, 1983; Petranka, 1998; Davic & 91 Welsh, 2004) and influence nutrient cycling and energy flow within streams and from aquatic to

92 terrestrial environments (Davic & Welsh 2004; Greene, Lowe & Likens, 2008; Keitzer & Goforth 2012). It is well documented that salamander populations are sensitive to land-use 93 94 changes, such as urbanization (Barrett & Guyer, 2008; Price et al., 2011; Price, Browne, & 95 Dorcas, 2012) and timber harvest (Lowe & Bolger, 2002; Crawford & Semlitsch, 2007; Moseley et al., 2008), that impact streams and their catchments. Despite the ubiquity of MTR/VF in 96 97 central Appalachia, only Wood and Williams (2013) examined the effects of MTR/VF on relative abundances (i.e., total number of individuals counted across all species) of adults and 98 larva; they found that relative abundance was greater in three reference streams compared to 99 100 three streams impacted by MTR/VF. However, counts of some species (i.e., Desmognathus 101 *fuscus* (northern dusky salamander)) were similar between MTR/VF streams and reference 102 streams (Wood & Williams, 2013). Thus, examinations that focus on species- and/or stage-103 specific responses may be necessary to fully elucidate the effects of MTR/VF on salamander 104 populations.

105 In this study, we evaluated the effects of MTR/VF on stream salamanders in the eastern Kentucky coalfields. Specifically, we modeled counts of adult and larva of five salamander 106 107 species using binomial mixture models assuming a zero-inflated Poisson distribution of 108 abundance at each stream. The zero-inflated Poisson model allows for occupancy of the site to 109 be modeled separately from the abundance, given the site was occupied, while accounting for 110 variation in per-individual detection probability (e.g., Dorazio et al. 2013; Wenger & Freeman, 111 2008). We ask the basic question: is salamander occupancy and abundance, given occupancy 112 (hereafter conditional abundance), reduced in stream reaches impacted by MTR/VF compared to control stream reaches? In addition, we report water chemistry and other physical 113

114 characteristics of valley-filled streams and control streams to determine stressors potentially

responsible for patterns of species' occurrence and conditional abundance.

116 *Methods*.

117 Study Sites.

Our study sites consisted of 23 low-order headwater streams located in the interior 118 119 rugged section of the Cumberland Plateau in Breathitt and Knott Counties, Kentucky USA. 120 Specifically, we sampled stream salamanders at 11 streams, partially buried by overburden (i.e., valley-filled), located on the reclaimed Laurel Fork surface mine (4144091.438 N 307635.435 E 121 Zone 17) and 12 control streams in approximately 80 year-old, second growth forest on the 122 University of Kentucky's Robinson Forest. Robinson Forest is located directly northeast of the 123 124 Laurel Fork surface mine; Laurel Fork surface mine was part of the Robinson Forest up until the 125 early 1990s when mineral rights of the property were sold by the University of Kentucky. Thus, 126 the valley-filled streams and control streams shared many attributes (i.e., similar vegetation 127 composition and age, elevation, subsurface geology) prior to surface mining. Laurel Fork was surface mined from the late 1990s to the early 2000s, and released from bond in November 2007 128 129 suggesting reclamation was satisfied.

Dominant vegetation within stream catchments on the Laurel Fork surface mine consisted of nitrogen-fixing herb Sericea lespedeza (*Lespedeza cuneata*) and grasses (tall fescue; *Schedonorus arundinaceus*), with autumn olive (*Elaeagnus umbellate*), Virginia pine (*Pinus virginiana*), white oak (*Quercus alba*) and black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia*) scattered throughout the landscape (See Fritz et al., 2010 for additional details). Although average percent forest cover within stream catchments was low at study sites on the Laurel Fork surface mine (25.0%) forest cover, riparian zones and adjacent terrestrial habitat was primarily forested (See Muncy et al., 2014). Control streams were located on the main block of Robinson Forest and
were dominated by second growth, mixed, mesophytic forests (i.e., white oak (*Q. alba*), tulip
tree (*Liriodendron tulipifera*), eastern Hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis*) (See Phillippi & Boebinger,
1986). Average forest cover within control stream catchments exceeded 99% (See Muncy et al.,
2014). The average catchment sizes were similar between stream types (control stream = 24.70
ha (± 21.34 SD); MTR/VF stream = 24.51 ha (± 15.48 SD)) (See Muncy et al., 2014).

# 143 Study Species.

We focused on estimating the occupancy and conditional abundances of five species of 144 stream salamander. All were lungless salamanders in the Family Plethodontidae that require low-145 146 order streams for egg deposition and larval development. Desmognathus fuscus and D. monticola 147 (seal salamander) are streamside species, usually found within a few meters from the stream 148 bank or under rocks and logs within the stream itself (Barbour et al., 1969). Although adult D. monticola are often larger than adult D. fuscus, larval period for both species lasts 8-9 months 149 150 (Juterbock, 1984). Eurycea cirrigera (southern two lined salamander), a slender-bodied species 151 with a 1-2 year larval period, is well known for occupying riparian and upland environments 152 during the non-breeding season (i.e., summer and fall) (Petranka, 1998). Gyrinophilus 153 porphyriticus (spring salamander) are large, heavy-bodied salamanders that are primarily found in streams, seeps, and springs (Petranka, 1998). The larval period of G. porphyriticus is 154 155 between 3-4 years (Bruce, 1980) and they are well known predators of other salamanders 156 (Petranka, 1998). *Pseudotriton ruber* (red salamander) also has a lengthy larval period (1.5-3.5 157 years; Bruce, 1972); adult *P. ruber* are occasionally observed in upland forests far from water 158 (Petranka, 1998).

159 Data collection.

160	We conducted repeated counts of salamanders within a 10-m stream reaches in each
161	MTR/VF and control stream. Reaches were haphazardly chosen based on their similarity of
162	width, depth and water flow and all reaches included a pool, run and riffle section (See Muncy et
163	al., 2014). Selecting sampling sites in this manner ensured instream habitat would be
164	appropriate for salamanders. Most valley-filled stream reaches were located at the base of the
165	valley fill. Despite the similarities in width and depth among sampling reaches (See Muncy et
166	al., 2014), the number of cover objects (rocks > 50 mm in diameter and logs) within each reach
167	were greater at control streams (48 cover objects) than MTR/VF streams (24 cover objects; See
168	Muncy et al., 2014).
169	We collected a 50 mL water sample from each stream reach prior to each sampling event
170	to evaluate the effects of MTR/VF on stream water chemistry. In the laboratory, the water
171	samples were analyzed for concentrations of calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), potassium (K),
172	sodium (Na), sulfates (SO <sub>4<sup>2-</sup></sub> ), total organic carbon (TOC), pH and specific conductance ( $\mu$ S);
173	sampling and analyses were performed in accordance with standard methods (Greenberg,
174	Clesceri & Eaton, 1992). These data have been formally analyzed in Muncy et al. (2014),
175	although we present site-specific averages and standard errors in Table 1.
176	Salamander count data were obtained through area-constrained active searches,
177	specifically dipnetting and stream bank searches within the 10 m reach (See Price, Browne, &
178	Dorcas, 2012). Dipnetting consisted of one sampler, moving from downstream to upstream,
179	actively netting for adult and larval salamanders around and under cover objects (i.e., logs,
180	rocks) and leaf litter within the stream. Stream bank searches also were conducted by one person;
181	these searches included close examination of cover objects and other material within 1-m of the
182	wetted width of the stream reach. Active searches were conducted during daylight hours and in

183 base flow conditions. In general, dipnetting and bank searches took 30 and 15 minutes,

respectively. After searches were complete, all salamanders were identified to species, life stage (larva or adult), counted and released back into the 10-m reach. We sampled each 10-m reach four times (i.e., usually monthly) from March through June 2013.

We also recorded several sampling covariates at each stream reach prior to each active 187 188 search to account for the conditions that may influence our ability to detect salamanders. We assumed that per-individual detection rate (i.e., probability of detecting an individual that in 189 190 present in a reach and available for detection) of salamanders may be influenced by three 191 covariates: water temperature ( $C^{\circ}$ ), number of days since last precipitation event, and date (i.e., 192 day of year). Both water temperature and number of days since last precipitation have been 193 suggested as important predictors of activity in stream salamanders (Orser & Shure, 1975; 194 Johnson & Goldberg, 1975; Price, Browne, & Dorcas, 2012). We recorded date because 195 activity, and potentially detection, may change over the four months of sampling.

#### 196 Statistical Analysis.

197 To examine the effects of mountaintop removal mining on stream salamander occupancy 198 and conditional abundance, we modeled counts of salamander species and life stages using the 199 extended binomial mixture model of Dorazio et al. (2013). This model assumes an underlying 200 zero-inflated Poisson distribution of the population size at each stream which Dorazio et al. 201 (2013) call the hurdle model. The hurdle model is parameterized so that occupancy of the 202 streams is modeled separately from the abundance among occupied locations (i.e., conditional 203 abundance), while still accounting for differences in per-individual detection probabilities among 204 species. Assumptions of this model are that the population at each stream is closed to entry (i.e., 205 birth, immigration) and exit (i.e., death, emigration) [Simon Bon1] This model was appropriate

for our salamander repeated count data because it accounts for the excess of zeros in observed counts in the MTR/VF stream reaches; failure to account <u>forof</u> this variation can substantially bias abundance estimates (See Dorazio et al. 2013). Salamander counts on the four visits were assumed to follow independent binomial distributions <u>conditional[Simon Bon2]</u> on the sitespecific population size. Detection probabilities were allowed to depend on environmental covariates but were otherwise assumed to be the same for MTR/VF and control streams.

To conduct our analysis, we first separated salamander count data by species and in some 212 instances stage (i.e., adult vs. larva) for each of the five species. Specifically, we separately 213 analysed counts for only adult D. fuscus, D. monticola and E. cirrigera. We combined counts of 214 adult and larval forms of G. porphyriticus as well as counts of P. ruber, as all but one of the 215 216 captures of these two species were larvae. Finally, we analysed larval counts of E. cirrigera and 217 combined counts for larval *D. fuscus* and *D. monticola* due to difficulty of identifyingseparating 218 *Desmognathus* species in larval form; collectively we refer to these as *Desmognathus* larvae. 219 We <u>first</u> modelled occupancy of species or life stage s at site I,  $O_{si}$ , as a Bernoulli random variable (e.g., MacKenzie et al. 2003) where the probability that site *i* was occupied by species or 220 221 life stage s,  $\psi_{si}$ , was allowed to vary between MTR/VF ( $m_i = 1$ ) and control stream reaches ( $m_i =$ 222 0) modelled on the logistic scale, given by:

223 
$$\operatorname{logit}(\psi_{si}) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{s1} & m_i = 0\\ \gamma_{s2} & m_i = 1 \end{cases}$$
(1)

The difference in  $\psi_{si}$  between MTR/VF and control stream reaches for species or life-stage *s* was measured through <u>a</u> pairwise contrast such that  $\Delta \gamma_s = \gamma_{s1} - \gamma_{s2}$ . Differences in occupancy between MTR/VF and control streams <u>waswere</u> assessed by considering whether or not the (1– <u>a)100%Central 50 and 95%</u> credible intervals for  $\Delta \gamma_s$  covered zero for different values of *a*. Specifically, we focused on the coverage of the central 50% and 95% credible intervals. Abundance for each species and life stage *s* at site *i* ( $N_{si}$ ) was then modelled conditional on occupancy. If the site was unoccupied by a given species or life stage *s*, we considered the abundance to be zero. Otherwise, the abundance at site *i* was modelled as a zero truncated Poisson random variable with rate parameter  $\lambda_{si}$  such that:

233 
$$P(N_{si} = n | O_{si} = 1) = \frac{\lambda_{si}^n}{(e^{\lambda_{si}} - 1)n!}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
(2)

234 Conditional on site *i* being occupied, the expected abundance was:

235 
$$E(N_{si}|O_{si}) = \frac{\lambda_{si}e^{\lambda_{si}}}{e^{\lambda_{si}} - 1}$$
(3)

236 The distribution of abundance given occupancy was allowed to vary between control and

237 MTR/VF streams and among species and/or life stages so that:

238 
$$\lambda_{si} = e^{(m_i - 1)\beta_{s1} + m_i \beta_{s2}} \tag{4}$$

The difference in abundance given occupancy between MTR/VF and control streams for species or life-\_stage *s* was measured as  $\Delta B_s = B_{s1} - B_{s2}$ ; differences were assessed by considering whether or not the (1-a)100%50 and 95% credible <u>iintervalsnterval</u> for  $\Delta_{Bs}$  covered zero-fordifferent values of *a*. Again, we focused on the 50% and 95% credible intervals. Accounting for both occupancy and abundance given occupancy, the distribution for the

overall abundance of species or life-stage s at site i was given by the probabilities

245 
$$P(N_{si} = n) = \begin{cases} (1 - \psi_{si}) & n = 0\\ \frac{\psi_{si}\lambda_{si}^n}{n!(e^{\lambda_{si}} - 1)} & n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$
(5)

Provided that  $(1-\psi_{si}) > e^{-\lambda_{si}}$ ; t This represents a zero-inflated Poisson distribution in which occupancy may be is-less than expected under a strict Poisson model for abundance. The expected abundance of species or life stage *s* at site *i* (i.e.,  $E(N_{si})$ ) combining the models for both occupancy and abundance given occupancy was

I

$$E(N_{si}) = \frac{\psi_{si}\lambda_{si}e^{\lambda_{si}}}{e^{\lambda_{si}} - 1}$$
(6)

251 Differences in the overall abundance at MTR/VF and control stream reaches was assessed by 252 comparing  $E(N_{si})$  and  $E(N_{sj})$  where site *i* was mined ( $m_i = 1$ ) and site *j* was a control site ( $m_{i+1} = 0$ ). 253 Individual[Simon Bon3] salamanders were assumed to behave independently within and 254 between sampling occasions so the number of captures of species/life stage *s* at site *j* on occasion 255 *i* followed a binomial distribution

256 
$$Y_{sij}|N_{si} \sim \text{Binomial}(N_{si}, p_{sij})$$
 (7)

257 The probability of capture was modeled on the logit scale as:

logit
$$(p_{sij}) = \alpha'_s x_{sij}$$
 (8)

where  $x_{sij}$  was a vector of sampling covariates and  $\alpha_s$  the associated vector of coefficients for species/life-stage *s*. We assumed that per-individual detection rate of salamanders may be influenced by three covariates: water temperature (C°), number of days since last precipitation event, and Julian date, which we standardized so the mean of the population was 0 and the standard deviation was 1.

Dorazio et al (2013) provided maximum likelihood methods to fit the hurdle models. 264 265 However, we chose to model each species and life stage separately in a Bayesian framework 266 using Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling in OpenBUGS to generate samples from 267 the posterior distribution (Lunn et al., 2009). All parameters were assigned non-informative 268 [U4] [Simon Bon5] prior distributions. Three parallel chains were run in OpenBUGS for each model 269 so that convergence could be assessed via the Gelman-Rubin diagnostic (Gelman & Rubin, 270 1992). Each chain was run for 50,000 iterations in total, the first 25,000 were removed as burn-271 in, and the final 25,000 were thinned by a factor of 5. This provided a total of 15,000 samples 272 from which we approximated posterior summary statistics including the mean, standard

deviation, and <u>50 and 95%</u> credible intervals[106] for each of the model parameters. Posterior
summary statistics were also computed for derived quantities including the occupancy
probability and abundance of each species or life stage at each of the sites.

276 Goodness-of-fit was conducted after the initial modelling stage by computing Bayesian pvalues based on the contributions to the completed data likelihood function for each species or 277 278 life-stage and each site. The complete data likelihood function was constructed by treating 279 occupancy and abundance as if they were part of the observed data. In particular, we constructed three discrepancy measures from the log of the likelihood components modeling the abundance 280 281 (including occupancy) and the observed values conditional on abundance, and from the overall likelihood (i.e., See Kéry & Schaub, 2012). Bayesian p-values were then computed by 282 283 comparing the discrepancy measures obtained for both the observed data and simulated data 284 generated on each iteration of the MCMC algorithm (See Gelman, Meng & Stern, 1996). We 285 considered Bayesian p-values  $\leq 0.10$  for any of the three discrepancy measures as indications of 286 potential influential points in the sensitivity analysis lack of fit. For each species and life-stage, the analysis (see occupancy and abundance analyses above) was repeated after removing all sites 287 288 producing a Bayesian p-value  $\leq 0.10$  for any of the three discrepancy measures (See Appendix 289 S1 Sensitivity Analysis in Supporting Information).

290 Results.

We counted 92 *D. fuscus* adults, 117 *D. monticola* adults, 46 *E. cirrigera* adults, 106 *G. porphyriticus*, 22 *P. ruber*, 190 *E. cirrigera* larvae, and 188 *Desmognathus* larvae in control stream reaches. *Gyrinophilus porphyriticus* was detected at all 12 control stream reaches, adults of *D. fuscus*, *D. monticola*, and *E. cirrigera* were detected at 11 control reaches and *P. ruber* were detected at half of the control reaches. Larval *Desmognathus* and *E. cirrigera* were detected

at 12 and 11 control reaches, respectively. Salamander counts in MTR/VF reaches resulted in

297 25 adult D. fuscus, 19 adult D. monticola, 4 adult E. cirrigera, 2 G. porphyriticus larvae, 5 P.

298 ruber larvae, 11 E. cirrigera larvae, and 25 Desmognathus larvae. Of the 11 MTR/VF reaches,

adults of D. fuscus D. monticola, and E. cirrigera were detected at 7, 6, 3 stream reaches,

300 respectively. *Pseudotriton ruber* (2 stream reaches) and *G. porphyritus* (1 stream reach) were

301 rarely detected in MTR/VF streams. Larval Desmognathus and E. cirrigera were both found at

302 5 of 11 MTR/VF stream reaches.

We found differences in our species-specific estimates of occupancy between control 303 304 sites and MTR/VF reaches. With the exception of P. ruber, estimated occupancy probabilities 305 were > 0.84 for all species and stages in controls reaches(Fig.1). Estimated occupancy 306 probabilities were relatively low in MTR/VF reaches, ranging from 0.23 (95% CI = 0.03 - 0.67) 307 for G. porphyriticus to 0.66 (95% CI = 0.37 - 0.91) for D. fuscus (Fig 1). Despite the variation, posterior means of  $\Delta \gamma_s$  for all species and stages were negative, suggesting decreased occupancy 308 309 in reaches impacted by MTR/VF (Fig. 2). Upper bounds of the 95% credible intervals for  $\Delta \gamma_s$ 310 were below zero for three species, G. porphyriticus, adult E. cirrigera and larval Desmognathus, 311 indicating that MTR/VF reaches were at least 95% less likely to be occupied by these species or 312 life-stages. Although the upper bounds of the 50% credible intervals for *D. fuscus*, *D. monticola*, 313 larval E. cirrigera and P. ruber were well below zero, we could not draw strong conclusions 314 about differences in occupancy for these species because the 95% credible intervals covered 315 zero.

Our estimates of conditional abundance also exhibited both interspecific and site type
differences (Fig 3). In control reaches, estimated <u>mean conditional</u> abundance ranged from
57.43 (95% CI = 11.55-232.10) *G. porphyriticus* to 8.39 (95% CI = 4.34-17.02) *D. fuscus* per 10

319 m reach (Fig. 3). Conversely, across all species and stages, mean conditional abundance was relatively lower in MTR/VF reaches(Fig. 3). Sampling covariates did not strongly influence 320 321 detection probabilities for most species as coefficients for  $\alpha$  parameters covered zero, however *G. porphyriticus* ( $\alpha = 0.30$  (95% CI = 0.08-0.53) and adult *E. cirrigera* ( $\alpha = 0.69$  (95% CI = 322 323 0.09-1.36) were detected with greater frequency as the number of days since last rain increased, larval *E. cirrigera* were detected more frequently as date increased ( $\alpha = 0.78$  (95% CI = 0.57-324 325 1.02), and adult *E. cirrigera* were detected less frequently as date increased( $\alpha = -1.88$  (95% CI = 326 -2.85 to -1.13). The 95% credible interval for  $\Delta_{Bs}$  covered zero for only one species (*P. ruber*) 327 and posterior means  $\Delta_{Bs}$  for all species and stages were negative, suggesting reduced abundance 328 given occupancy in reaches impacted by MTR/VF (Fig. 2). 329 The goodness-of-fit[Simon Bon7] assessment for all species and life stages indicated lack of 330 fit of the models at one or more of the study reaches. For each species and life-stage, the 331 analysis (see occupancy and abundance analysis) was repeated after removing all reaches 332 producing a Bayesian p-value  $\leq 0.10$  for any of the three discrepancy measures (i.e., occupancy 333  $(O_{si})$ , conditional abundance  $(N_{si})$ , and the observed counts on each occasion given abundance

Control reaches had consistently lower concentrations of calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg),
potassium (K), sodium (Na), and sulfates (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) than those recorded on MTR/VF sites (Table
Additionally, total organic carbon (TOC) and pH tended to be elevated in MTR/VF reaches

exception was the point estimate of the difference in abundance given occupancy for G.

 $(Y_{si})$ ). In all but one case, the point estimates of  $\Delta \gamma_s$  and  $\Delta_{Bs}$  remained below zero, though the

estimated differences were closer to zero than in the initial analysis and credible intervals were

wider, which was expected given the removal of study reaches (Fig. 4; See Fig. S1). The single

334

335

336

337

338

porphyriticus which was above zero.

342 (Table 1). In particular, site-specific averages of specific conductance ranged from 972.50

343 ( $\pm 86.70$ ) to 2365.00 ( $\pm 72.40$ )  $\mu$ S/cm in MTR/VF reaches whereas, in control reaches, site-

344 specific averages ranged from  $38.80 (\pm 5.91)$  to  $81.25 (\pm 25.54) \mu$ S/cm (Table 1).

345

# 346 Discussion.

347 Stream salamanders are known to be sensitive to land-use changes, including both pulse-type (i.e., clearcutting) and press-type (i.e., urbanization) disturbances (Crawford & Semlitsch, 2007; 348 Price et al. 2011; Connette & Semlitsch, 2013; Surasinghe & Baldwin, 2014), however few 349 350 studies have examined their response to MTR/VF, the primary type of land use disturbance in 351 Central Appalachia (but see Wood and Williams 2013; Muncy et al. 2014). Using the hurdle 352 model (Dorazio et al. 2013), we found occupancy and conditional abundance of most salamander 353 species and life stages examined were reduced in stream reaches impacted by MTR/VF. Indeed, posterior means for both  $\Delta \gamma_s$  and  $\Delta_{Bs}$  were negative for all species and life-stages; however, the-354 355 credible intervals for  $\Delta \gamma_s$  were consistently much larger than for  $\Delta_{Bs}$ , indicating that the estimated differences in occupancy were less precise than the estimated differences in conditional-356 abundance. [Simon Bon8]Despite the broad credible intervals, three species and/or life-stages, G. 357 358 porphyriticus, adult E. cirrigera and larval Desmognathus, were unequivocally less likely to 359 occupy streams impacted by MTR/VF, and, with the exception of *P. ruber*, all other species and 360 life stages had clearly reduced conditional abundances in MTR/VF stream reaches. 361 Results from the sensitivity analysis were qualitatively the same as those obtained from the 362 original analysis for many species and life-stages (i.e., D. fuscus and D. monticola adults, E. 363 cirrigera larva, and P. ruber). However, results for a few species, particularly G. porphyriticus, 364 showed more sensitivity to the sites with potential lack-of-fit. Yet, these results are not surprising 365 given that G. porphyriticus was detected at only one MTR/VF reach which was removed during the sensitivity analysis. Similarly, results from the sensitivity analysis for Desmognathus larva 366 367 showed the 95% credible interval for  $\Delta \gamma_s$  were covered zero. Again, this was not unexpected 368 given that nine of the 23 reaches were excluded from the sensitivity analysis for Desmognathus 369 larva, greatly reducing the amount of data. This suggests either a violation of the closure 370 assumption or that the detection model for *Desmognathus* larva was not appropriate. 371 We acknowledge some interspecific and/or stage specific differences in response to MTR/VF. For example, both Desmognathus species appeared to be relatively more tolerant of 372 MTR/VF than other species in our analysis (e.g., all Desmognathus species and life stages had 373 374 occupancy estimates >0.48 and mean abundance estimates for D. fuscus, D. monticola, and 375 Desmognathus larva were 2.84, 5.18, and 3.30 individuals per 10 m sampling reach in MTR/VF 376 streams). These results contrast those of G. porphyriticus which had significantly reduced mean occupancy and conditional abundance in reaches impacted by MTR/VF. These findings may be 377 378 related to life histories of these animals. Surasinghe and Baldwin (2014) reported large-bodied, 379 long-lived, late maturing salamanders, such as G. porphyriticus, were intolerant of riparian and 380 stream disturbances (e.g., siltation) whereas small-bodied, early maturing, short-lived species 381 (i.e., E. cirrigera, D. fuscus) were relatively tolerant. These species- and stage- effects may be 382 important from a population recovery potential; yet, we emphasize that all species and stages had 383 decreased occupancy and conditional abundance in MTR/VF reaches suggesting the general 384 intolerance of salamanders to streams impacted by MTR/VF at our study sites. 385

This reduced occupancy and conditional abundances of salamanders in streams impacted by MTR/VF is likely due to the multitude of stressors in MTR/VF streams and adjacent riparian areas. First, stream catchments on mined land often have little forest cover due to poor soil

conditions following mining and/or restoration techniques (Chaney et al. 1995). For example, 388 389 although revegetation of mined land is required under SMCRA, this process typically involved 390 hydroseeding the recontoured land with grasses. Our MTR/VF stream catchments contained, on 391 average, only 25% forest cover with the remaining land-use in grasses and shrubs (see study sites above). The reduced forest cover, along with poor soils, and low amounts of litter and woody 392 393 debris, may limit populations of certain species, like E. cirrigera and P. ruber, that inhabit 394 forests during the nonbreeding season (Petranka, 1998). Secondly, compacted soils in stream catchments on MTR/VF result in increased storm runoff and stream flow rates (Negley & 395 396 Eshleman, 2006; Ferrari et al., 2009; Fox, 2009), which has been linked to reduced survival of 397 larval E. cirrigera (Barrett et al., 2010) and reduced recruitment in G. porphyriticus (Lowe & 398 McPeek, 2012). Additionally, MTR/VF streams often have highly embedded or armored 399 substrate, which has been connected to reduced abundances of various salamander species (Redmond, 1980; Lowe, Nislow & Bolger, 2004; Wood & Williams, 2013). The reduction in the 400 401 number of cover objects within our MTR/VF reaches compared to control reaches may have been due to the increased siltation or embeddness due to mining activities. 402 403 Our analysis of water chemistry indicated consistently greater concentrations of ions and 404 elevated specific conductance in MTR/VF reaches compared to control reaches. Specifically, 405 average of specific conductance ranged from 972.50 to 2365.00  $\mu$ S/cm in MTR/VF reaches. 406 Elevated specific conductance (i.e.,  $> 500 \,\mu$ S/cm) within streams has been positively correlated 407 in decreased macroinvertebrate abundance (Pond 2008); indeed, Pond (2010 & 2012) 408 documented reduced abundances of mayfly taxa (Order Ephemeroptera) and many stonefly 409 (Order Plecoptera) and caddisfly (Order Tricoptera) genera in streams impacted by surface 410 mining, with some taxa becoming absent. Macroinvertebrates represents important prey items

to salamanders (Keitzer and Goforth 2013) and may be a possible explanation for decreased 411 occupancy and conditional abundance in streams impacted by MTR/VF. Increased levels of 412 413 specific conductance has been shown to influences amphibian behavior (Karraker et al. 2008), 414 corticosterone levels and feeding (Chambers 2011). Embryonic and larval survival of amphibians has been found to be reduced at moderate (500  $\mu$ S) and high specific conductivities (3000  $\mu$ S) 415 416 (Karraker et al. 2008); although we are not aware of studies conducted on Plethodontid 417 salamanders. These aforementioned stressors and potentially others represent potential mechanisms responsible for relatively low in conditional abundance and occupancy in our 418 419 MTR/VF reaches.

## 420 Management Recommendations

421 Mountaintop removal mining has impacted thousands of km of streams throughout the 422 eastern coal fields of Kentucky, Tennessee, West Virginia and elsewhere (Barton, 2011; 423 Bernhardt et al., 2012). Based on our results and those from other studies (i.e., Wood & 424 Williams, 2013; Muncy et al., 2014), it appears stream salamander populations, along with macroinvertebrates (Pond 2010 & 2012) and fishes (Hopkins & Roush 2013; Hitt & Chambers 425 426 (2014) have reduced levels of occupancy and abundance in streams impacted by MTR/VF. 427 Collectively, these data strongly suggest that conventional reclamation efforts, with respect to the 428 recovery of aquatic ecosystems, have largely been unsuccessful (Bernhardt & Palmer, 2011; 429 Zipper et al., 2011); Palmer et al. (2010) notes that full recovery of stream biota post restoration 430 has not been documented. Alternative reclamation and mitigation techniques, including the 431 Forestry Reclamation Approach that advocates reforesting surface mines (See Zipper et al. 432 2011), could be beneficial to aquatic systems and semi-aquatic organisms impacted by MTR/VF

433	by providing terrestrial habitat, restoring landscape hydrologic patterns and possibly reducing
434	leaching of salts from mine spoils.
435	
436	Acknowledgments.
437	We thank D. Collett, M. Contreras, C. Elmore, M. Hamilton, P. Muncy, C. Osborne, and D.
438	Parrott for helping with logistics, data collection, and/or data analysis. S. Freytag provided
439	comments on initial drafts of the manuscript. Funding was provided by the Kentucky Society of
440	Natural History, Department of Forestry University of Kentucky, and the McIntire-Stennis
441	Cooperative Forestry Research Program (Accession Number 1001968).
442	
443	References.
444	Barton C. (2011) Coal mining versus water quality: An electrifying topic. American Water
445	Resources Association: Water Resource Impact, 13, 23-24.
446	Barbour R.W., Hardin J.W., Schafer J.P. & Harvey M.J. (1969) Home range, movements, and
447	activity of the dusky salamander, Desmognathus fuscus. Copeia, 293-297.
448	Barrett K. & Guyer C. (2008) Differential responses of amphibians and reptiles in riparian
449	andstream habitats to land use disturbances in western Georgia, USA. Biological
450	Conservation, 141, 2290-2300.
451	Barrett K., Helms B.S., Samoray S.T. & Guyer C. (2010) Growth patterns of a stream vertebrate
452	differ between urban and forested catchments. Freshwater Biology, 55, 1628-1635.
453	Barrett K., & Price, S.J. (2014) Stream salamanders and urbanization: a review, conservation

454 options and research needs. Freshwater Science 33:927-940.

455	Bernhardt E.S. & Palmer M.A. (2011) The environmental costs of mountaintop mining valley fill
456	operations for aquatic ecosystems of the Central Appalachians. Year in Ecology and
457	Conservation Biology. Annals of New York Academy of Science, 1223, 39-57.
458	Bernhardt E.S., Lutz B.D., King R.S., Fay J.P, Carter C.E., Helton A.M., Campagna D. & Amos
459	J. (2012) How many mountains can we mine? Assessing the regional degradation of
460	Central Appalachian rivers by surface coal mining. Environmental Science and
461	Technology, 46, 8115-8122.
462	Bruce R.C. (1972) The larval life of the red salamander, <i>Pseudotriton ruber</i> . Journal of
463	Herpetology, 6, 43-51.
464	Bruce R.C. (1980) A model of the larval period of the spring salamander, Gyrinophilus
465	porphyriticus, based on size-frequency distributions. Herpetologica, 36, 78-86.
466	Chambers D.L. (2011) Increased conductivity affects corticosterone levels and prey consumption
467	in larval amphibians. Journal of Herpetology, 45, 219-223.
468	Chaney, W.R, Pope, P.E., Byrnes, W.R. 1995. Tree survival and growth on land reclaimed in
469	accord with Public Law 95-87. Journal of Environmental Quality 24:630-634.
470	Connette G.M. & Semlitsch R.D. (2013) Life history as a predictor of salamander recovery rate
471	from timber harvest in southern Appalachian Forests, USA. Conservation Biology, 27,
472	1399-1409.
473	Crawford J.A. & Semlitsch R.D. (2007) Estimation of core terrestrial habitat for stream-breeding
474	salamanders and delineation of riparian buffers for protection of biodiversity.
475	Conservation Biology, 21, 152-158.
476	Davic R.D. (1983) An investigation of salamander guild predation in a North Carolina stream: an
477	experimental approach. PhD Thesis, Kent State University, Ohio.

- 478 Davic R.B. & Welsh H.H. Jr. (2004) On the ecological roles of salamanders. Annual Review of
  479 Ecology, Evolution and Systematics, 35, 405-434.
- 480 Dorazio, R.M., Martin, J., & Edwards, H. H. (2013) Estimating abundance while accounting for
  481 rarity, correlated behavior, and other sources of variation in counts. Ecology, 94, 1472-
- 482 1478.
- Ferrari J.R., Lookingbill T.R., McCormick B., Townsend P.A. & Eshleman K.N. (2009) Surface
  mining and reclamation effects on flood response of watersheds in the central
- 485 Appalachian Plateau region. Water Resources Research, 45, W04407,
- 486 doi:10.1029/2008WR007109
- 487 Fox J.F. (2009) Identification of sediment sources in forested watersheds with surface coal
- 488 mining disturbance using carbon and nitrogen isotopes. American Water Resources
  489 Association, 45, 1273-1289.
- 490 Fritz K.M., Fulton S., Johnson B.R., Barton C.D., Jack J.D., Word D.A. & Burke R.A. (2010)
- 491 Structural and functional characteristics of natural and constructed channels draining a
- 492 reclaimed mountaintop removal and valley fill coal mine. Journal of the North American493 Benthological Society, 29, 673-689.
- Gelman A.E., Meng X. & Stern H.S. (1996) Posterior predictive assessment of model fitness via
  realized discrepancies. Statistica Sinica, 6, 733-807.
- 496 Gelman A.E. & Rubin D.B. (1992) Inference from iterative simulation using multiple sequences.
  497 Statistical Science, 7, 457-511.
- 498 Greenberg A.E., Clesceri L.S. & Eaton A.D. (1992) Standard methods for the examination of
- 499 water and wastewater. 18th ed. American Public Health Association, Washington, DC.

500	Greene B.T., Lowe W.H. & Likens G.E. (2008) Forest succession and prey availability influence
501	the strength and scale of terrestrial-aquatic linkages in a headwater salamander system.
502	Freshwater Biology, 53, 2234-2243.

- 503 Griffith M.B., Norton S.B., Alexander L.C., Pollard A.I. & LeDuc S.D. (2012) The effects of
- 504 mountaintop mines and valley fills on the physicochemical quality of stream ecosystems
- 505 in the central Appalachians: A review. Science of the Total Environment, 417, 1-12.
- Hartman K., Kaller M., Howell J. & Sweka J. (2005) How much do valley fills influence
  headwater streams? Hydrobiologia, 532, 91-102.
- 508 Hitt N.P. & Chambers D.B. (2014) Temporal changes in taxonomic and functional diversity of
- 509 fish assemblages downstream from mountaintop mining. Freshwater Science, 33, 915-510 926.
- Hopkins R.L. & Roush J.C. (2013) Effects of mountaintop mining on fish distributions in central
  Appalachia. Ecology of Freshwater Fish, 22, 578-586.
- Johnson J.E. & Goldberg A.S. (1975) Movement of larval two lined salamanders (Eurycea
- 514 *bislineata*) in the Mill River, Massachusetts. Copeia, 588-589.
- Juterbock J.E. (1984) Evidence for the recognition of specific status for *Desmognathus welteri*.
  Journal of Herpetology, 240-255.
- 517 Keitzer S.C. & Goforth R.R. (2013) Salamander diversity alters stream macroinvertebrate
- 518 community structure. Freshwater Biology, 58, 2114-2125.
- Kéry M. & Schaub M. (2012) Bayesian population analysis using WinBUGS: a hierarchical
   perspective. Academic Press, Waltham, Massachusetts.
- 521 Lemly A.D. (1997) A teratogenic deformity index for evaluating impacts of selenium on fish
- 522 populations. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 37, 259-266.

523	Lindberg T.T., Bernhardt E.S., Bier R., Helton A.M., Merola R.B., Wengosh A. & Di Giulio
524	R.T. (2011) Cumulative impacts of mountaintop mining on an Appalachian watershed.
525	In: Proceedings for the National Academy of Science of the United States of America,
526	108, 20929-20934.
527	Lowe W.H. & Bolger D.T. (2002) Local and landscape-scale predictors of salamander
528	abundance in New Hampshire headwater streams. Conservation Biology, 16, 183-193.
529	Lowe W.H., Nislow K.H. & Bolger D.T. (2004) Stage-specific and interactive effects of
530	sedimentation and trout on a headwater stream salamander. Ecological Applications,
531	14,164–172.
532	Lowe W.H. & McPeek M.A. (2012) Can natural selection maintain long-distance dispersal?
533	Insight from a stream salamander system. Evolutionary Ecology, 26, 11-24.
534	Lunn D., Spiegelhalter D., Thomas A. & Best, N. (2009) The BUGS project: Evolution, critique,
535	and future directions. Statistics in Medicine, 28, 3049-3067.
536	MacKenzie, D.A., Nichols, J.D., Hines, J.E., Knutson, M.G. & Franklin, A.B (2003) Estimating
537	site occupancy, colonization and local extinction when a species is detected imperfectly,
538	Ecology, 84, 2200-2207.
539	Merricks T.C., Cherry D.S., Zipper C.E., Currie R.J. & Valenti T.W. (2007) Coal-mine hollow
540	fill and settling pond influences on headwater streams in southern West Virginia, USA.
541	Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 129, 359-378.
542	Moseley K.R., Mark Ford W., Edwards J.W. & Schuler T.M. (2008) Long-term partial cutting
543	impacts on Desmognathus salamander abundance in West Virginia headwater streams.
544	Forest ecology and management, 254, 300-307.

545	Muncy B., Price S.J., Bonner S.J. & Barton C.D. (2014) Mountaintop removal mining reduces
546	stream salamander occupancy and richness in southeastern Kentucky (USA). Biological
547	Conservation, 180, 115-121.
548	Negley T.L. & Eshleman K.N. (2006) Comparison of storm-flow responses of surface-mined and
549	forested watersheds in the Appalachian Mountains, U.S.A. Hydrologic Processes, 20,
550	3467-3483.
551	Orser P.N. & Shure D.J. (1975) Population cycles and activity patterns of the Dusky salamander,
552	Desmognathus fuscus fuscus. American Midland Naturalist, 93, 403-410.
553	Palmer M.A., Bernhardt E.S., Schlesinger W.H., Eshleman K.N., Foufoula-Georgiou E.,
554	Hendryx M.S., Lemly A.D., Likens G.E., Loucks O.L., Power M.E., White P.S. &
555	Wilcock P.R. (2010) Mountaintop mining consequences. Science, 327, 148-149.
556	Peterman W.E., Crawford J.A. & Semlitsch R.D. (2008) Productivity and significance of
557	headwater streams: population structure and biomass of the black-bellied salamander
558	(Desmognathus quadramaculatus). Freshwater Biology, 53, 347-357.
559	Petranka J.W. (1998) Salamanders of the United States and Canada. Smithsonian Institution
560	Press, Washington, DC.
561	Phillippi M.A. & Boebinger A. (1986) A vegetational analysis of three small watersheds in
562	Robinson Forest, Eastern Kentucky. Castanea, 51, 11-30.
563	Pond G.J., Passmore M.E., Borsuk F.A., Reynolds L. & Rose C.J. (2008) Downstream effects of
564	mountain top coal mining: comparing biological conditions using family- and genus-
565	level macroinvertebrate bioassessment tools. Journal of American Benthological Society,
566	27, 717-737.

Pond G.J. (2010) Patterns of Ephemeroptera taxa loss in Appalachian headwater streams 567 (Kentucky, USA). Hydrobiologia, 641, 185-201. 568 569 Pond G.J. (2012) Biodiversity loss in Appalachian headwater streams (Kentucky, USA): 570 Plecoptera and Trichoptera communities. Hydrobiologia, 679, 97-117. Price S.J., Cecala K.K., Browne R.A. & Dorcas M.E. (2011) Effects of urbanization on 571 572 occupancy of stream salamanders. Conservation Biology, 25, 547-555. Price S.J., Browne R.A. & Dorcas M.E. (2012) Evaluating the effects of urbanization on 573 salamander abundances using a before-after control-impact design. Freshwater Biology, 574 57, 193-203. 575 576 Redmond W.H. (1980) Notes on the distribution and ecology of the black mountain dusky salamander Desmognathus welteri Barbour (Amphibia: Plethodontidae) in Tennessee. 577 578 Brimleyana, 4, 123–131. Simmons J.A., Currie W.S., Eshleman K.N., Kuers K., Monteleone S., Negley J.L., Pohlad B.R. 579 580 & Thomas, C.L. (2008) Forest to reclaimed mine land use change leads to altered ecosystem structure and function. Ecological Applications, 18, 104-118. 581 582 Surasinghe, T.D. & Baldwin, R.F. (2015) Importance of riparian forest buffers in conservation of 583 stream biodiversity: Responses to land uses by stream-associated salamanders across two southeastern temperate ecoregions. Journal of Herpetology 49, 83-94. 584 585 Wenger S.J. & Freeman M.C. (2008) Estimating species occurrence, abundance, and detection 586 probability using zero-inflated distributions. Ecology, 89, 2953-2959. 587 Wickham, J., Wood, P.B., Nicholson, M.C., Jenkins, W., Druckenbrod, D., Suter, G.W., Strager, 588 M.P., Mazzarella, C., Galloway, W. & Amos, J. (2013). The overlooked terrestrial 589 impacts of mountaintop mining. BioScience 63:335-348.

590	Wiley J.B., Evaldi R.D., Eychaner J.H. & Chambers D.B. (2001) Reconnaissance of stream
591	geomorphology, low streamflow, and stream temperature in the mountaintop coal-mining
592	region, southern West Virginia, 1999-2000. US Department of the Interior, US
593	Geological Survey.
594	Wood P.B. & Williams J.M. (2013) Impact of valley fills on streamside salamanders in southern
595	West Virginia. Journal of Herpetology, 47, 119-125.
596	Zipper C.E., Burger J.A., Skousen J.G., Angel P.N., Barton C.D., Davis V. & Franklin J.A.
597	(2011) Restoring forests and associated ecosystem services on Appalachian coal surface

598 mines. Environmental Management. 47, 751-765.

# Tables.

Table 1. Site-specific average (± SE) for select water chemistry attributes at mountaintop removal and valley fill (VF) and control streams (R) located in eastern Kentucky, USA. Overall treatment means are represented by VF or R Treatment. Parameters denoted with an asterisk indicate limited sample size. One asterisk denotes one sample, while two asterisks represent two samples. Manganese means noted as 0.00 mg/L were below detection limits.

0	Specific Conductance	6							
Study Site	(μS/cm)	TOC (mg/L C)	[+H] Hq	Mn (mg/L)	SO4 (mg/L)	Ca (mg/L)	Mg (mg/L)	K (mg/L)	Na (mg/L)
VF - 1	1692.00 (113.61)	10.52 (8.40)	6.39 (0.31)	2.69 (1.02)	379.04 (181.02)	28.16 (2.48)	10.39 (0.15)	8.48 (0.42)	9.14 (0.43)
VF - 2	1644.67 (112.06)	25.72 (14.62)	7.07 (0.23)	1.83 (1.02)	489.48 (120.42)	29.25 (3.37)	10.36 (0.36)	10.91 (2.27)	9.65 (0.32)
VF - 3	1916.00 (174.43)	2.48 (0.31)	4.60 (0.09)	11.11 (2.16)	570.32 (122.28)	29.16 (2.93)	10.66 (0.30)	7.10 (0.15)	7.17 (0.64)
VF - 4	2345.50 (223.44)	3.93 (1.12)	6.01 (0.10)	6.70 (2.12)	391.31(128.06)	30.61 (3.06)	10.87 (0.31)	8.54 (0.17)	13.15 (0.90)
VF - 5	2132.50 (95.43)	24.21 (2.74)	6.97 (0.04)	10.30 (2.72)	440.15 (103.38)	30.03 (2.75)	10.77 (0.24)	8.98 (0.23)	9.17 (0.24)
VF - 6	1940.25 (294.89)	2.99 (0.52)	4.96 (0.12)	13.41 (4.01)	645.70 (189.52)	28.34 (3.14)	10.80 (0.38)	6.80 (0.15)	10.01 (1.27)
VF - 7	972.50 (86.70)	5.39 (0.91)	6.81 (0.08)	0.13 (0.06)	206.37 (40.33)	25.58 (2.64)	9.79 (0.18)	5.32 (0.13)	5.76 (0.34)
VF - 8	1431.00 (457.60)	7.37 (3.21)	6.13 (0.71)	2.42 (0.99)	352.54 (139.42)	28.00 (5.17)	10.24 (0.65)	6.24 (1.11)	7.99 (1.89)
VF - 9	1006.67 (294.17)	8.90 (6.23)	6.08 (0.56)	0.71 (0.31)	738.85 (227.16)	25.87 (1.69)	9.74 (0.22)	5.15 (1.37)	6.89 (0.70)
VF - 10	2365.00 (72.40)	7.24 (1.37)	6.46 (0.02)	10.01 (1.72)	853.61 (256.42)	30.31 (2.71)	10.89 (0.26)	9.04 (0.34)	14.66 (0.24)
VF - 11	1821.75 (151.21)	3.63 (0.86)	6.00 (0.10)	11.08 (3.14)	629.30 (59.30)	28.81 (2.73)	10.58 (0.26)	7.33 (0.25)	9.95 (0.72)
VF Treatment	1780.22 (88.11)	8.96 (1.74)	6.11 (0.14)	6.74 (0.95)	517.21 (49.72)	28.62 (0.82)	10.49 (0.10)	7.64 (0.31)	9.50 (0.45)
R - 1	58.77 (7.36)	2.27 (0.18)	5.71 (0.05)	0.07 (0.07)	4.63*	1.62 (0.11)	1.12 (0.19)	1.62 (0.10)	2.57 (0.83)
R - 2	81.25 (25.54)	2.88 (1.17)	4.89 (0.50)	0.04 (0.04)	19.63 (0.38)**	1.50 (0.26)	1.63 (0.46)	4.34 (2.54)	1.7 (0.14)
R - 3	71.40 (1.98)	2.91(0.55)	5.64 (0.15)	0.06 (0.06)	8.41(1.48)	2.94 (0.89)	1.66 (0.33)	3.51(1.71)	2.67 (0.35)
R - 4	44.75 (3.16)	1.76 (0.12)	5.72 (0.18)	0.00 (0.00)	6.01 (1.03)	1.18 (0.17)	1.38 (0.18)	1.71 (0.05)	1.77 (0.12)
R - 5	58.23 (13.99)	2.07 (0.14)	5.78 (0.24)	0.00 (0.00)	5.96 (1.84)	1.34 (0.14)	1.50 (0.24)	1.64 (0.10)	3.44 (1.76)
R - 6	42.75(1.76)	2.02 (0.29)	5.65 (0.17)	0.00 (0.00)	6.04 (1.16)	1.19 (0.20)	1.06 (0.13)	1.49 (0.05)	1.09 (0.08)
R - 7	50.83 (8.58)	2.89 (0.11)	6.51 (1.11)	0.00 (0.00)	4.33 (0.87)	1.11 (0.17)	1.50 (0.21)	1.78 (0.10)	2.64 (1.31)
R - 8	57.63 (5.86)	2.72 (0.62)	5.85 (0.31)	0.01 (0.01)	5.68 (1.57)**	1.55 (0.35)	1.42 (0.19)	1.74 (0.06)	3.02 (1.27)
R - 9	73.18 (15.75)	2.46 (0.22)	5.42 (0.39)	0.00 (0.00)	7.54 (0.05)**	1.40 (0.29)	1.57 (0.11)	3.11 (1.36)	3.10 (2.00)
R - 10	38.80 (5.91)	1.92 (0.12)	5.30 (0.09)	0.00 (0.00)	6.65 (2.33)	0.89 (0.23)	1.02 (0.10)	1.61 (0.08)	1.99 (0.60)
R - 11	48.40 (3.87)	2.55 (0.33)	5.54 (0.06)	0.00 (0.00)	6.57 (2.29)	1.94 (0.45)	1.39 (0.18)	1.65 (0.08)	1.70 (0.13)
R - 12	78.33 (9.47)	1.85 (0.30)	5.74 (0.61)	0.01 (0.01)	19.25 (0.92)**	1.84 (0.42)	1.93 (0.33)	3.23 (1.88)	1.54 (0.13)
R Treatment	58.41 (3.52)	2.35 (0.13)	5.64 (0.13)	0.01 (0.01)	7.67 (0.84)	1.51 (0.11)	1.43 (0.07)	2.27 (0.31)	2.25 (0.28)

# Figure legends.

Fig. 1. Species/life-stage specific estimates of the occupancy probability for control (black) and mined (grey) sites. The points represent posterior means, the wide bands central 50% credible intervals, and the thin bands 95% central credible intervals. Species/life stages denoted as *Gyrinophilus porphyriticus* (GP), adult *Desmognathus fuscus* (DF), adult *D. monticola* (DM), larval *Eurycea cirrigera* (EC-L), adult *E. cirrigera* (EC-A), larval *Desmognathus* spp. (DES-L) and *Pseudotriton ruber* (PR).

Fig. 2. Species/life-stage specific estimates of  $\Delta_{\gamma s}$  (black) and  $\Delta_{\beta s}$  (grey). The points represent posterior means, the wide bands central 50% credible intervals, and the thin bands 95% central credible intervals. Species/life stages denoted as *Gyrinophilus porphyriticus* (GP), adult *Desmognathus fuscus* (DF), adult *D. monticola* (DM), larval *Eurycea cirrigera* (EC-L), adult *E. cirrigera* (EC-A), larval *Desmognathus* spp. (DES-L) and *Pseudotriton ruber* (PR).

Fig. 3. Species/life-stage specific estimates of overall abundance for control (black) and mined (grey) sites. The points represent posterior means, the wide bands central 50% credible intervals, and the thin bands central 95% credible intervals. Species/life stages denoted as *Gyrinophilus porphyriticus* (GP), adult *Desmognathus fuscus* (DF), adult *D. monticola* (DM), larval *Eurycea cirrigera* (EC-L), adult *E. cirrigera* (EC-A), larval *Desmognathus* spp. (DES-L) and *Pseudotriton ruber* (PR).

Fig. 4. Species/life-stage specific estimates of  $\Delta_{\gamma s}$  (black) and  $\Delta_{\beta s}$  (grey) computed in the sensitivity analysis. The points represent posterior means, the wide bands central 50% credible intervals, and the thin bands 95% central credible intervals. Species/life stages denoted as *Gyrinophilus porphyriticus* (GP), adult *Desmognathus fuscus* (DF), adult *D. monticola* (DM), larval *Eurycea cirrigera* (EC-L), adult *E. cirrigera* (EC-A), larval *Desmognathus* spp. (DES-L) and *Pseudotriton ruber* (PR).



Occupancy Probability

Fig.1



Fig. 2



Fig. 3

4 2 0 Ņ 4 EC-L -EC-A -DES-L -DF -DM -PR – GP



